



1

2

# Web Services Security UsernameToken Profile 1.0

3

4

## OASIS Standard 200401, March 2004

5

### Document identifier:

6

{WSS: SOAP Message Security }-{UsernameToken Profile }-{1.0} (Word) (PDF)

7

### Document Location:

8

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username-token-profile-1.0>

9

### Errata Location:

10

<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/wss>

11

### Editors:

Anthony	Nadalin	IBM	12
Phil	Griffin	Individual	
Chris	Kaler	Microsoft	
Phillip	Hallam-Baker	VeriSign	
Ronald	Monzillo	Sun	

13

### Contributors:

Gene	Thurston	AmberPoint
Frank	Siebenlist	Argonne National Lab
Merlin	Hughes	Baltimore Technologies
Irving	Reid	Baltimore Technologies
Peter	Dapkus	BEA
Hal	Lockhart	BEA
Symon	Chang	CommerceOne
Srinivas	Davanum	Computer Associates
Thomas	DeMartini	ContentGuard
Guillermo	Lao	ContentGuard
TJ	Pannu	ContentGuard
Shawn	Sharp	Cyclone Commerce
Ganesh	Vaideeswaran	Documentum

Sam	Wei	Documentum
John	Hughes	Entegrity
Tim	Moses	Entrust
Toshihiro	Nishimura	Fujitsu
Tom	Rutt	Fujitsu
Yutaka	Kudo	Hitachi
Jason	Rouault	HP
Paula	Austel	IBM
Bob	Blakley	IBM
Joel	Farrell	IBM
Satoshi	Hada	IBM
Maryann	Hondo	IBM
Michael	McIntosh	IBM
Hiroshi	Maruyama	IBM
David	Melgar	IBM
Anthony	Nadalin	IBM
Nataraj	Nagaratnam	IBM
Wayne	Vicknair	IBM
Kelvin	Lawrence	IBM (co-Chair)
Don	Flinn	Individual
Bob	Morgan	Individual
Bob	Atkinson	Microsoft
Keith	Ballinger	Microsoft
Allen	Brown	Microsoft
Paul	Cotton	Microsoft
Giovanni	Della-Libera	Microsoft
Vijay	Gajjala	Microsoft
Johannes	Klein	Microsoft
Scott	Konersmann	Microsoft
Chris	Kurt	Microsoft

Brian	LaMacchia	Microsoft
Paul	Leach	Microsoft
John	Manferdelli	Microsoft
John	Shewchuk	Microsoft
Dan	Simon	Microsoft
Hervey	Wilson	Microsoft
Chris	Kaler	Microsoft (co-Chair)
Prateek	Mishra	Netegrity
Frederick	Hirsch	Nokia
Senthil	Sengodan	Nokia
Lloyd	Burch	Novell
Ed	Reed	Novell
Charles	Knouse	Oblix
Steve	Anderson	OpenNetwork (Sec)
Vipin	Samar	Oracle
Jerry	Schwarz	Oracle
Eric	Gravengaard	Reactivity
Stuart	King	Reed Elsevier
Andrew	Nash	RSA Security
Rob	Philpott	RSA Security
Peter	Rostin	RSA Security
Martijn	de Boer	SAP
Blake	Dournaee	Sarvega
Pete	Wenzel	SeeBeyond
Jonathan	Tourzan	Sony
Yassir	Elley	Sun Microsystems
Jeff	Hodges	Sun Microsystems
Ronald	Monzillo	Sun Microsystems
Jan	Alexander	Systinet
Michael	Nguyen	The IDA of Singapore

Don	Adams	TIBCO
John	Weiland	US Navy
Phillip	Hallam-Baker	VeriSign
Mark	Hays	Verisign
Hemma	Prafullchandra	VeriSign

14

15 **Abstract:**

16 This document describes how to use the UsernameToken with the Web Services  
17 Security (WSS) specification.

18 **Status:**

19 This is a technical committee document submitted for consideration by the OASIS Web  
20 Services Security (WSS) technical committee. Please send comments to the editors.

21 If you are on the [wss@lists.oasis-open.org](mailto:wss@lists.oasis-open.org) list for committee members, send comments  
22 there. If you are not on that list, subscribe to the [wss-comment@lists.oasis-open.org](mailto:wss-comment@lists.oasis-open.org) list  
23 and send comments there. To subscribe, send an email message to [wss-comment-](mailto:wss-comment-request@lists.oasis-open.org)  
24 [request@lists.oasis-open.org](mailto:wss-comment-request@lists.oasis-open.org) with the word "subscribe" as the body of the message.

25 For patent disclosure information that may be essential to the implementation of this  
26 specification, and any offers of licensing terms, refer to the Intellectual Property Rights  
27 section of the OASIS Web Services Security Technical Committee (WSS TC) web page  
28 at <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/wss/ipr.php>. General OASIS IPR information  
29 can be found at <http://www.oasis-open.org/who/intellectualproperty.shtml>.

## 30 **Table of Contents**

31	1 Introduction .....	3
32	2 Notations and Terminology .....	3
33	2.1 Notational Conventions.....	3
34	2.2 Namespaces.....	3
35	2.3 Acronyms and Abbreviations .....	3
36	3 UsernameToken Extensions .....	3
37	3.1 Usernames and Passwords .....	3
38	3.2 Token Reference .....	3
39	3.3 Error Codes .....	3
40	4 Security Considerations .....	3
41	5 References.....	3
42	Appendix A. Revision History .....	3
43	Appendix B. Notices.....	3
44		

---

## 45 1 Introduction

46 This document describes how to use the UsernameToken with the WSS: SOAP Message  
47 Security specification [WSS]. More specifically, it describes how a web service consumer can  
48 supply a UsernameToken as a means of identifying the requestor by "username", and optionally  
49 using a password (or shared secret, or password equivalent) to authenticate that identity to the  
50 web service producer.

51 This section is non-normative.

---

## 52 2 Notations and Terminology

53 This section specifies the notations, namespaces, and terminology used in this specification.

### 54 2.1 Notational Conventions

55 The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD",  
56 "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be  
57 interpreted as described in [RFC 2119].

58 When describing abstract data models, this specification uses the notational convention used by  
59 the XML Infoset. Specifically, abstract property names always appear in square brackets (e.g.,  
60 [some property]).

61 When describing concrete XML schemas [XML-Schema], this specification uses the notational  
62 convention of WSS: SOAP Message Security. Specifically, each member of an element's  
63 [children] or [attributes] property is described using an XPath-like [XPath] notation (e.g.,  
64 /x:MyHeader/x:SomeProperty/@value1). The use of {any} indicates the presence of an element  
65 wildcard (<xs:any/>). The use of @{any} indicates the presence of an attribute wildcard  
66 (<xs:anyAttribute/>).

67 Commonly used security terms are defined in the Internet Security Glossary [SECGLO]. Readers  
68 are presumed to be familiar with the terms in this glossary as well as the definition in the Web  
69 Services Security specification.

### 70 2.2 Namespaces

71 Namespace URIs (of the general form "some-URI") represents some application-dependent or  
72 context-dependent URI as defined in RFC 2396 [URI]. This specification is designed to work with  
73 the general SOAP [SOAP11, SOAP12] message structure and message processing model, and  
74 should be applicable to any version of SOAP. The current SOAP 1.1 namespace URI is used  
75 herein to provide detailed examples, but there is no intention to limit the applicability of this  
76 specification to a single version of SOAP.

77 The namespaces used in this document are shown in the following table (note that for brevity, the  
78 examples use the prefixes listed below but do not include the URIs – those listed below are  
79 assumed).

80

Prefix	Namespace
S11	http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/

S12	<a href="http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap-envelope">http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap-envelope</a>
wsse	<a href="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-secext-1.0.xsd">http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-secext-1.0.xsd</a>
wsu	<a href="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd">http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd</a>

81 The URLs provided for the *wsse* and *wsu* namespaces can be used to obtain the schema files.

## 82 2.3 Acronyms and Abbreviations

83 The following (non-normative) table defines acronyms and abbreviations for this document.

Term	Definition
SHA	Secure Hash Algorithm
SOAP	Simple Object Access Protocol
URI	Uniform Resource Identifier
UCS	Universal Character Set
UTF8	UCS Transformation Format, 8-bit form
XML	Extensible Markup Language

---

## 84 3 UsernameToken Extensions

### 85 3.1 Usernames and Passwords

86 The `<wsse:UsernameToken>` element is introduced in the WSS: SOAP Message Security  
87 documents as a way of providing a username.

88 Within `<wsse:UsernameToken>` element, a `<wsse:Password>` element may be specified.  
89 Passwords of type `wsse:PasswordText` and `wsse:PasswordDigest` are not limited to  
90 actual passwords, although this is a common case. Any password equivalent such as a derived  
91 password or S/KEY (one time password) can be used. Having a type of `wsse:PasswordText`,  
92 `wsse:PasswordDigest` merely implies that the information held in the password is “in the  
93 clear”, as opposed to holding a “digest” of the information. For example, if a server does not have  
94 access to the clear text of a password but does have the hash, then the hash is considered a  
95 *password equivalent* and can be used anywhere where a “password” is indicated in this  
96 specification. It is not the intention of this specification to require that all implementations have  
97 access to clear text passwords.

98 Passwords of type `wsse:PasswordText` and `wsse:PasswordDigest` are defined as being  
99 the Base64 [XML-Schema] encoded, SHA-1 hash value, of the UTF8 encoded password (or  
100 equivalent). However, unless this digested password is sent on a secured channel or the token is  
101 encrypted, the digest offers no real additional security over use of `wsse:PasswordText` and  
102 `wsse:PasswordDigest`.

103 Two optional elements are introduced in the `<wsse:UsernameToken>` element to provide a  
104 countermeasure for replay attacks: `<wsse:Nonce>` and `<wsu:Created>`. A nonce is a  
105 random value that the sender creates to include in each UsernameToken that it sends. Although  
106 using a nonce is an effective countermeasure against replay attacks, it requires a server to  
107 maintain a cache of used nonces, consuming server resources. Combining a nonce with a  
108 creation timestamp has the advantage of allowing a server to limit the cache of nonces to a  
109 "freshness" time period, establishing an upper bound on resource requirements. If either or both  
110 of `<wsse:Nonce>` and `<wsu:Created>` are present they MUST be included in the digest value  
111 as follows:

112

113 Password\_Digest = Base64 ( SHA-1 ( nonce + created + password ) )

114

115 That is, concatenate the nonce, creation timestamp, and the password (or shared secret or  
116 password equivalent), digest the combination using the SHA-1 hash algorithm, then include the  
117 Base64 encoding of that result as the password (digest). This helps obscure the password and  
118 offers a basis for preventing replay attacks. For web service producers to effectively thwart replay  
119 attacks, three counter measures are RECOMMENDED:

- 120 1. It is RECOMMENDED that web service producers reject any UsernameToken *not*  
121 using *both* nonce *and* creation timestamps.
- 122 2. It is RECOMMENDED that web service producers provide a timestamp "freshness"  
123 limitation, and that any UsernameToken with "stale" timestamps be rejected. As a  
124 guideline, a value of five minutes can be used as a minimum to detect, and thus  
125 reject, replays.
- 126 3. It is RECOMMENDED that used nonces be cached for a period at least as long as  
127 the timestamp freshness limitation period, above, and that UsernameToken with  
128 nonces that have already been used (and are thus in the cache) be rejected.

129 Note that the nonce is hashed using the octet sequence of its decoded value while the timestamp  
130 is hashed using the octet sequence of its UTF8 encoding as specified in the contents of the  
131 element.

132 Note that `wsse:PasswordDigest` can only be used if the plain text password (or password  
133 equivalent) is available to both the requestor and the recipient.

134 Note that the secret is put at the end of the input and not the front. This is because the output of  
135 SHA-1 is the function's complete state at the end of processing an input stream. If the input  
136 stream happened to fit neatly into the block size of the hash function, an attacker could extend  
137 the input with additional blocks and generate new/unique hash values knowing only the hash  
138 output for the original stream. If the secret is at the end of the stream, then attackers are  
139 prevented from arbitrarily extending it -- since they have to end the input stream with the  
140 password which they don't know. Similarly, if the nonce/created was put at the end, then an  
141 attacker could update the nonce to be nonce+created, and add a new created time on the end to  
142 generate a new hash.

143 The countermeasures above do not cover the case where the token is replayed to a different  
144 receiver. There are several (non-normative) possible approaches to counter this threat, which  
145 may be used separately or in combination. Their use requires pre-arrangement (possibly in the  
146 form of a separately published profile which introduces new password type) among the  
147 communicating parties to provide interoperability:

- 148 • including the username in the hash, to thwart cases where multiple user accounts  
149 have matching passwords (e.g. passwords based on company name)



- 150 including the domain name in the hash, to thwart cases where the same  
151 username/password is used in multiple systems
- 152 including some indication of the intended receiver in the hash, to thwart cases where  
153 receiving systems don't share nonce caches (e.g., two separate application clusters  
154 in the same security domain).

155 The following illustrates the XML syntax of this element:

156

```
157 <wsse:UsernameToken wsu:Id="Example-1">
158   <wsse:Username> ... </wsse:Username>
159   <wsse:Password Type="..."> ... </wsse:Password>
160   <wsse:Nonce EncodingType="..."> ... </wsse:Nonce>
161   <wsu:Created> ... </wsu:Created>
162 </wsse:UsernameToken>
```

163

164 The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the example above:

165 /wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Password

166 This optional element provides password information (or equivalent such as a hash). It is  
167 RECOMMENDED that this element only be passed when a secure transport (e.g.  
168 HTTP/S) is being used or if the token itself is being encrypted.

169 /wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Password/@Type

170 This optional URI attribute specifies the type of password being provided. The table  
171 below identifies the pre-defined types (note that the URI fragments are relative to the URI  
172 for this specification).

173

URI	Description
#PasswordText (default)	The actual password for the username, the password hash, or derived password or S/KEY. This type should be used when hashed password equivalents that do not rely on a nonce or creation time are used, or when a digest algorithm other than SHA1 is used.
#PasswordDigest	The digest of the password (and optionally nonce and/or creation timestamp) for the username using the algorithm described above.

174

175 /wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Password/@{any}

176 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be  
177 added to the element.

178 /wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Nonce

179 This optional element specifies a cryptographically random nonce. Each message  
180 including a <wsse:Nonce> element MUST use a new nonce value in order for web  
181 service producers to detect replay attacks.

182 /wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Nonce/@EncodingType

183 This optional attribute URI specifies the encoding type of the nonce (see the definition of  
184 <wsse:BinarySecurityToken> for valid values). If this attribute isn't specified then  
185 the default of Base64 encoding is used.

186 /wsse:UsernameToken/ws:Created

187 The optional <wsu:Created> element specifies a timestamp used to indicate the  
188 creation time. It is defined as part of the <wsu:Timestamp> definition.

189 All compliant implementations MUST be able to process the <wsse:UsernameToken> element.  
190 Where the specification requires that an element be "processed" it means that the element type  
191 MUST be recognized to the extent that an appropriate error is returned if the element is not  
192 supported.

193 Note that <wsse:KeyIdentifier> and <ds:KeyName> elements as described in the WSS:  
194 SOAP Message Security specification are not supported in this profile.

195 The following example illustrates the use of this element. In this example the password is sent as  
196 clear text and therefore this message should be sent over a confidential channel:

197

```
198 <S11:Envelope xmlns:S11="..." xmlns:wsse="...">  
199   <S11:Header>  
200     ...  
201     <wsse:Security>  
202       <wsse:UsernameToken>  
203         <wsse:Username>Zoe</wsse:Username>  
204         <wsse:Password>IloveDogs</wsse:Password>  
205       </wsse:UsernameToken>  
206     </wsse:Security>  
207     ...  
208   </S11:Header>  
209   ...  
210 </S11:Envelope>
```

211

212 The following example illustrates using a digest of the password along with a nonce and a  
213 creation timestamp:

214

```
215 <S11:Envelope xmlns:S11="..." xmlns:wsse="..." xmlns:wsu="...">  
216   <S11:Header>  
217     ...  
218     <wsse:Security>  
219       <wsse:UsernameToken>  
220         <wsse:Username>NNK</wsse:Username>  
221         <wsse:Password Type="...#PasswordDigest">  
222           weYI3nXd8LjMNVksCKFV8t3rgHh3Rw==  
223         </wsse:Password>  
224         <wsse:Nonce>WScqanjCEAC4mQoBE07sAQ==</wsse:Nonce>  
225         <wsu:Created>2003-07-16T01:24:32Z</wsu:Created>  
226       </wsse:UsernameToken>  
227     </wsse:Security>  
228     ...  
229   </S11:Header>  
230   ...  
231 </S11:Envelope>
```

232

## 233 3.2 Token Reference

234 When a UsernameToken is referenced using `<wsse:SecurityTokenReference>` the  
235 `ValueType` attribute is not required. If specified, the value of `<wsse:UsernameToken>` MUST  
236 be specified.

237 The following encoding formats are pre-defined (note that the URI fragments are relative to the  
238 URI for this specification):

239

URI	Description
<code>#UsernameToken</code>	UsernameToken

240

241

242 When a UsernameToken is referenced from a `<ds:KeyInfo>` element, it can be used to derive  
243 a key for a message authentication algorithm using the password. This profile considers specific  
244 mechanisms for key derivation to be out of scope. Implementations should agree on a key  
245 derivation algorithm in order to be interoperable.

246 There is no definition of a KeyIdentifier for a UsernameToken. Consequently, KeyIdentifier  
247 references MUST NOT be used when referring to a UsernameToken.

248 Similarly, there is no definition of a KeyName for a UsernameToken. Consequently, KeyName  
249 references MUST NOT be used when referring to a UsernameToken.

250 All references refer to the `wsu:Id` for the token.

## 251 3.3 Error Codes

252 Implementations may use custom error codes defined in private namespaces if needed. But it is  
253 RECOMMENDED that they use the error handling codes defined in the WSS: SOAP Message  
254 Security specification for signature, decryption, and encoding and token header errors to improve  
255 interoperability.

256 When using custom error codes, implementations should be careful not to introduce security  
257 vulnerabilities that may assist an attacker in the error codes returned.

---

## 258 4 Security Considerations

259 The use of the UsernameToken introduces no additional threats beyond those already identified  
260 for other types of SecurityTokens. Replay attacks can be addressed by using message  
261 timestamps, nonces, and caching, as well as other application-specific tracking mechanisms.  
262 Token ownership is verified by use of keys and man-in-the-middle attacks are generally  
263 mitigated. Transport-level security may be used to provide confidentiality and integrity of both the  
264 UsernameToken and the entire message body.

265 When a password (or password equivalent) in a `<UsernameToken>` is used for authentication,  
266 the password needs to be properly protected. If the underlying transport does not provide enough  
267 protection against eavesdropping, the password SHOULD be digested as described in this  
268 document. Even so, the password must be strong enough so that simple password guessing  
269 attacks will not reveal the secret from a captured message.

270 When a password is encrypted, in addition to the normal threats against any encryption, two  
271 password-specific threats must be considered: replay and guessing. If an attacker can  
272 impersonate a user by replaying an encrypted or hashed password, then learning the actual  
273 password is not necessary. One method of preventing replay is to use a nonce as mentioned  
274 previously. Generally it is also necessary to use a timestamp to put a ceiling on the number of  
275 previous nonces that must be stored. However, in order to be effective the nonce and timestamp  
276 must be signed. If the signature is also over the password itself, prior to encryption, then it would  
277 be a simple matter to use the signature to perform an offline guessing attack against the  
278 password. This threat can be countered in any of several ways including: don't include the  
279 password under the signature (the password will be verified later) or sign the encrypted  
280 password.  
281 The reader should also review Section 13 of WSS: SOAP Message Security document for  
282 additional discussion on threats and possible counter-measures.  
283 This section is non-normative.

---

## 284 5 References

285 The following are normative references:

- 286 **[SECGLO]** *Informational RFC 2828, "Internet Security Glossary," May 2000.*  
287 **[RFC2119]** *S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels,"*  
288 *RFC 2119, Harvard University, March 1997*  
289 **[WSS]** *OASIS standard, "WSS: SOAP Message Security," TBD.*  
290 **[SOAP11]** *W3C Note, "SOAP: Simple Object Access Protocol 1.1," 08 May 2000.*  
291 **[SOAP12]** *W3C Working Draft, "SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging Framework",*  
292 *26 June 2002.*  
293 **[URI]** *T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifiers*  
294 *(URI): Generic Syntax," RFC 2396, MIT/LCS, U.C. Irvine, Xerox*  
295 *Corporation, August 1998.*  
296 **[XML-Schema]** *W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 1: Structures," 2 May*  
297 *2001.*  
298 *W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes," 2 May 2001.*  
299 **[XPath]** *W3C Recommendation, "XML Path Language", 16 November 1999*

300 The following are non-normative references included for background and related material:

- 301 **[WS-Security]** *OASIS, "Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security" 19 January*  
302 *2004, [http://www.docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-](http://www.docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-soap-message-security-1.0)*  
303 *soap-message-security-1.0*  
304 **[XML-C14N]** *W3C Recommendation, "Canonical XML Version 1.0," 15 March 2001*  
305 **[EXC-C14N]** *W3C Recommendation, "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0," 8*  
306 *July 2002.*  
307 **[XML-Encrypt]** *W3C Working Draft, "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing," 04 March*  
308 *2002*  
309 *W3C Recommendation, "Decryption Transform for XML Signature", 10 December 2002.*  
310 **[XML-ns]** *W3C Recommendation, "Namespaces in XML," 14 January 1999.*  
311 **[XML Signature]** *W3C Recommendation, "XML Signature Syntax and*  
312 *Processing," 12 February 2002.*  
313 **[XPointer]** *"XML Pointer Language (XPointer) Version 1.0, Candidate*  
314 *Recommendation", DeRose, Maler, Daniel, 11 September 2001.*



## Appendix A. Revision History

Rev	Date	By Whom	What
Wd-1.0	2002-12-16	Phil Griffin	Initial version cloned from the WSS core specification
Wd-1.1	2003-01-26	Anthony Nadalin	Bring in line with WSS-Core Update
Wd-1.2	2003-02-23	Anthony Nadalin	Editorial Updates
Wd-1.3	2003-06-30	Anthony Nadalin	Editorial Updates
Wd-1.4	2003-08-11	Anthony Nadalin	Editorial Updates
Cd-1.5	2003-12-09	Anthony Nadalin, Chris Kaler	Editorial Updates based on Issue List #30
Cd-1.5	2003-12-15	Anthony Nadalin, Chris Kaler	Editorial Updates based on Editorial feedback
Cd-1.6	2003-12-22	Anthony Nadalin	Editorial Updates based on Editorial feedback
Cd-1.7 & 1.8	2003-12-29	Anthony Nadalin, Chris Kaler	Editorial Updates based on Editorial feedback
Cd- 1.8	2004-01-19	Anthony Nadalin, Chris Kaler	Editorial corrections for name space and document name
Cd 1.9	2004-02-17	Anthony Nadalin	Editorial corrections per Karl Best

317

---

## Appendix B. Notices

318 OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights  
319 that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this  
320 document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available;  
321 neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on  
322 OASIS's procedures with respect to rights in OASIS specifications can be found at the OASIS  
323 website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses  
324 to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission  
325 for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification, can be  
326 obtained from the OASIS Executive Director.

327 OASIS invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent  
328 applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to  
329 implement this specification. Please address the information to the OASIS Executive Director.

330 Copyright © The Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards [OASIS]  
331 2002-2004. All Rights Reserved.

332 This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works  
333 that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied,  
334 published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the  
335 above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works.  
336 However, this document itself does not be modified in any way, such as by removing the  
337 copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing OASIS  
338 specifications, in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the OASIS Intellectual  
339 Property Rights document must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other  
340 than English.

341 The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its  
342 successors or assigns.

343 This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS  
344 DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO  
345 ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE  
346 ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A  
347 PARTICULAR PURPOSE.