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6. Special considerations
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**Data Processing Intangible Assets**

Computer software
- For sale or license (product software)
- For internal use (operational software)

Automated databases

Intellectual Property
- Copyright protection for software and databases
- Copyright protection for multimedia works
- Protection of mask works under the Semiconductor Chip Protection Act of 1984
- Patent and trade secret protection for software
- Trademarks and trade names (packaged software, domain name)
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Reasons to Value Computer Software

1. Transactional reasons – establishing a purchase price, royalty rate, transfer price
2. Financing reasons – assessing collateral value, value as part of a solvency opinion
3. Taxation reasons – purchase price amortization, charitable contribution, assessment value for ad valorem property taxation
4. Bankruptcy reasons – assessing solvency of the software owner/user, identification of licensing/spin-off opportunities
5. Controversy reasons – quantifying copyright infringement/breach of contract damages
6. Management information reasons – identifying, quantifying, and managing the value of the intellectual property
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**Types of Software**

*System Software*
- Operating systems: Windows 95
- Languages: COBOL, C++
- Utilities: Anti-virus, backup

*Business Operation Applications*
- Accounting: Payroll, general ledger
- Manufacturing Control: Bill of materials, inventory control
- Engineering: Computer-aided design
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Types of Software (cont.)

Office Automation
- Word Processing: WordPerfect
- Spreadsheet: Excel
- Groupware: Lotus Notes

Educational/Recreational
- Reference: Encyclopedia, atlas
- Tutorials: Foreign language, math
- Games: Card/board games, simulators
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Software Valuation Approaches/Methods

Cost Approach
- Reproduction cost vs. replacement cost
- Trended historical cost method
- Software engineering model method

Income Approach
- Income or cost savings
- Discounted cash flow method
- Relief from royalty method

Market Approach
- Availability & comparability issues
- Market transaction method
- Market replacement cost method
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Software Engineering Models

COCOMO
• Constructive Cost Model
• COCOMO II – 1997, re-calibrated annually, Center for Software Engineering, University of Southern California

SLIM
• Software Lifecycle Management
• Lawrence H. Putnam, Quantitative Software Management, Inc. (QSM)
• Computerized model, for license

Checkpoint/KnowledgePLAN
• Capers Jones, Software Productivity Research, Inc. (SPR)
• Computerized models, for license
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Typical SW Engineering Model Inputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metrics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lines of code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feature points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platform/Environment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hardware, operating system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language, tools, utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development practices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complexity, required reliability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifecycle (debugging, documentation)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personnel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capability and experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully-loaded cost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Income Approach**

Discounted Cash Flow Method
- Need identifiable income stream
- Usually used for product software or databases that are sold or licensed
- Project income, expenses (excluding amortization/depreciation), and capital expenditures over remaining economic life (may differ from tax life)
- Include capital charge when additional assets are used to produce the revenues
- Discount to a present value

Relief from Royalty Method
- Similar to DCF but project cost savings to the owner, who would otherwise have to pay royalties on revenues earned from the asset
- Empirical analysis of market-derived royalty rates for comparable assets
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### Market Approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Market Transaction Method**       | • Arm’s-length market transaction data  
• Typically expressed as dollars per line of code or dollars per function point  
• Apply to subject software lines of code or function points to estimate value  
• Adjust for material differences between comparable and subject software  
• Due to lack of data available, often used only as reasonableness check |
| **Market Replacement Cost Method**  | • Contemplates replacement cost of the software in the open market  
• Cost of comparable commercial off-the-shelf software packages  
• Request hypothetical proposals to develop comparable software  
• Consider the value of proprietary rights |
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Obsolescence

When an intangible asset is less useful than its ideal replacement, its value should be adjusted to reflect loss of economic value due to:

- Functional obsolescence: impairment of its functional utility according to current market standards
- Technological obsolescence: inefficient or outdated programming language or hardware
- Economic obsolescence: market conditions, competitors
- Physical deterioration: not generally applicable to data processing intangibles
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**Remaining Useful Life Analysis**

- Income approach – to estimate the projection period
- Cost approach – to estimate the amount of obsolescence, if any
- Market approach – to select, reject, or make adjustments to comparable/guideline transactional data

Factors affecting expected remaining useful life of data processing intangible assets:

- Legal determinants
- Contractual determinants
- Functional determinants
- Technological determinants
- Economic determinants
- Analytical determinants
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Typical Remaining Life Analysis Method

- Theory developed at Iowa State University in the early 1900s
- Estimate historical attrition rate
- Develop survivor curves based on historical attrition rates
- Match subject survivor curves to Iowa (or other) curves, using least squares method
- Resulting curve and average life are used to predict future mortality
- Similar to actuarial analysis performed by insurance companies to estimate human life spans

For data processing intangibles:
- Data are not often available for this type of analysis
- Could examine “retirement” of lines of code (rewritten or replaced), objects, modules, programs, or subsystems
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Special Considerations

Ownership
• Source code for software packages is frequently sold/licensed to companies that modify the programs
• Do not include lines of code not owned in software engineering models

Obsolete and Duplicate Code
• Remove obsolete code from analysis
• Do not count multiple copies/versions of same program (adjust for “cloning”)

Software Development Life Cycle
• Consider which phases of the life cycle have been completed and which are included in the model you are using

Software Metric Counting Conventions
• Use counting conventions consistent with the engineering model you use
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Illustrative Example – COCOMO Inputs

1. Delivered Source Instructions – generally, source program lines of code excluding comments

2. Development Mode
   - Organic (e.g., business models)
   - Semidetached – (e.g., inventory)
   - Embedded – (e.g., avionics)

3. Cost Drivers
   - Product attributes
   - Computer attributes
   - Personnel attributes
   - Project attributes
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**COCOMO Effort Equations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organic</td>
<td>$\text{MM} = 3.2 \times (\text{KDSI})^{1.05} \times \text{EAF}$</td>
<td>Man-months calculated with respect to delivered source instructions and effort adjustment factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semidetached</td>
<td>$\text{MM} = 3.0 \times (\text{KDSI})^{1.12} \times \text{EAF}$</td>
<td>Similar to organic, but with different parameters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embedded</td>
<td>$\text{MM} = 2.8 \times (\text{KDSI})^{1.20} \times \text{EAF}$</td>
<td>Lower effort multiplier for embedded systems.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where:
- $\text{MM}$ = Man-months
- $\text{KDSI}$ = Thousands of delivered source instructions
- $\text{EAF}$ = Effort adjustment factor (product of the cost driver effort multipliers)
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**COCOMO Cost Driver Examples**

### Programming Language Experience:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Multiplier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very low</td>
<td>&lt; 1 month</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>4 months</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Required Software Reliability:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Multiplier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very low</td>
<td>Slight inconvenience</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low, easily recoverable losses</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal</td>
<td>Moderate, recoverable losses</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>High financial loss</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very high</td>
<td>Risk to human life</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**COCOMO – Cost to Develop**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>DSI</th>
<th>Organic</th>
<th>Semi.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accts payable</td>
<td>29,104</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accts receivable</td>
<td>35,982</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank Rec.</td>
<td>23,617</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed assets</td>
<td>32,753</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General ledger</td>
<td>121,456</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documentation Adj. (9%)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total man-months</td>
<td>155</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per man-month</td>
<td>$8,750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost to develop</td>
<td>$1,356,250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- EAF = 0.32
- $60,000 average annual salary
- 75% benefits and overhead
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**SLIM Inputs**

1. Effective source lines of code
2. Application type(s): business, scientific, telecommunications, system software, etc.
3. Customization of the life cycle
   - 4 phases: Feas, FC, MB, Maint
   - 10 milestones
4. Options: reliability, accounting, and documentation
5. Productivity adjustments: Tools/methods, technical difficulty, personnel, reuse
6. Constraints
   - Maximum time, effort, cost, peak staff
   - Minimum peak staff, mean time to defect
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Synthesis of SLIM and COCOMO

Cost Approach Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>SLIM</th>
<th>COCOMO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accts payable</td>
<td>38.10</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accts receivable</td>
<td>51.73</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed assets</td>
<td>26.55</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General ledger</td>
<td>45.40</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>161.78</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documentation adj.</td>
<td>(14.56)</td>
<td>(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>147.22</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cost per PM/MM $8,750 $8,750
Cost to develop $1,288,175 $1,356,250

Indicated Value Using Equal Weighting:
$1,322,213
$1,320,000 (rounded)
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